BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation) Against:)	No. D-2826
JACK L. BARE, M.D. Certificate No. A-27773	
Respondent)	

DECISION

The attached Stipulation is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective on <u>June 8, 1983</u>.

IT IS SO ORDERED May 9,1983

DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

MILLER MEDEARIS Secretary-Treasurer

```
LOUIS C. CASTRO
 1
    Attorney at Law
    1004 Willow Street
    San Jose, California 95125
    Telephone: (408) 292-8000
 3
    Attorney for Complainant
 4
 5
 6
 7
                             BEFORE THE
 8
                      DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
 9
                    BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE
                     DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10
                         STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11
    In the Matter of the Accusation
                                           NO: D-2826
    Against:
12
                                           OAH NO. N 18955
            JACK L. BARE, M.D.
13
                                           STIPULATION AND DECISION
14
                                           AND ORDER
                    Respondent.
15
16
               IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between JACK L. BARE,
17
    M.D. (referred to herein as Respondent), together with his
18
    attorney, MICHAEL S. JARBOE, ESQ. with offices at 926 "J" Street,
19
    Suite 905, Sacramento, California, and ROBERT ROWLAND,
20
    Complainant herein, as Executive Director of the Board of
21
    Medical Quality Assurance of the State of California, by and
22
    through his attorney, LOUIS C. CASTRO, 1004 Willow Street, San
23
    Jose, California, as follows:
24
    ///
25
    ///
26
    ///
27
    ///
28
```

That Respondent has received and read the accusation which is presently on file and pending in case No. D-2826 before the Division of Medical Quality, Board of Medical Quality Assurance of the State of California.

TI.

That Respondent has retained MICHAEL S. JARBOE, ESQ. to be his attorney, and that Respondent has counseled with MICHAEL S. JARBOE, ESQ. concerning the effect of this Stipulation.

III.

That Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the accusation as providing the basis for disciplining his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A-027773.

IV.

That Respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained in said accusation, his right to reconsideration, to appeal, and to any and all other rights which may be accorded him pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act (Gov. Code, §11370 et seq.), and that he hereby freely and voluntarily waives his right to a hearing, to reconsideration, to appeal, and to any and all other rights which may be accorded him by the California Administrative Procedure Act and the Code of Civil Procedure. Respondent however, retains all rights to petition the Division of Medical Quality for termination of or modification of the terms and conditions of his probation.

///

///

That ROBERT ROWLAND, as Executive Director of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance, made and filed the accusation solely in his official capacity. That Respondent is and at all times mentioned in the accusation, licensed as a physician and surgeon and is therefore subject to disciplinary action by the Division of Medical Quality of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance.

VI.

Respondent stipulates that the conduct alleged in paragraphs 6, 7, and 8 of the accusation constitutes repeated similar negligent acts and is grounds for disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 2234(c) and 2220. The grounds for disciplinary action and underlying conduct alleged in paragraphs 9 and 10 are dismissed with prejudice. This stipulation is for purposes of settling the above matter and does not constitute an admission of civil or criminal liability.

VII.

That based on the foregoing recitals, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED that the Division of Medical Quality, Board of Medical Quality Assurance may issue a decision and order upon this stipulation whereby the following discipline will be imposed.

Respondent's licentiate certificate No. A-027773 is hereby suspended for six(6)months provided however, that the execution of said suspension is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for a period of three (3) years beginning the effective date of this decision and order, upon the following terms and conditions:

1. Within 120 days of the effective date of this 1 decision, Respondent shall take and pass an oral clinical 2 examination in general family practice to be administered by the 3 Division or its designee. If Respondent fails this examination 4 the order of suspension shall go into effect for six (6) months 5 upon thirty (30) days written notice from the Division. After the 6 suspension, Respondent shall not practice medicine 7 until Respondent has passed this examination and has been so 8 notified by the Division in writing. The Division shall pay the 9 cost of the first examination and Respondent shall pay the costs 10 of any subsequent examinations. If Respondent fails the 11 examination, Respondent must wait three (3) months between 12 examinations, except that after three (3) failures, Respondent 13 must wait one (1) year to take each necessary re-examination. 14 Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of 15 this decision and order and on an annual basis thereafter, 16 Respondent shall submit to the Division for its prior approval 17

- this decision and order and on an annual basis thereafter,
 Respondent shall submit to the Division for its prior approval
 an educational program or course related to medical theraputics,
 general practice, and surgery, which shall not be less than
 twenty-five (25) hours per year, for each year of probation.
 This program shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical
 Education requirements for re-licensure. Following the completion
 of each course, the Division or its designee may administer an
 examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course.
- 3. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine in California.
 - 4. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations

28

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

- 5. Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program.
- 6. Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division's medical consultant upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
- 7. In the event Respondent should leave California to reside or practice outside the State, Respondent must notify in writing the Division of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residency or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of this probationary period.
- 8. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate will be fully restored.
- 9. With the exception of condition 1 above which is self executing, if Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against Respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

///

. ///

07 ///

28 ///

- 5 -

VIII.

This stipulation shall be subject to the acceptance of the Division of Medical Quality, Board of Medical Quality Assurance. If the Division fails to accept this Stipulation, it shall be of no force or effect for either party.

DATED: 2-15-83

LOVIS C. CASTRO

Attorney for Claimant

11 DATED:

1.15-83

MICHAEL S. JARBOE Attorney for Respondent

DATED:

2-15-83

JACK L. BARE, M.D.

Respondent

REDACTED

LOUIS C. CASTRO Attorney at Law 650 North First Street 2 San Jose, California 95112 Telephone: (408) 295-7593 3 Attorney for BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY 4 **ASSURANCE** 5 6 7 BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 8 BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE OF THE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Accusation 11 Against: NO: D-2826 12 JACK L. BARE, M.D. ACCUSATION Murieta Mobile Home 13 Village Box 63 Sloughhouse, California 95683) 14 License No: A-027773 15 Respondent. 16 17 ROBERT ROWLAND alleges: 18 1. That he is the Executive Secretary of the BOARD OF 19 MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE and that he makes these charges and 20 allegations in his official capacity and not otherwise. 21 2. That on or about March 21, 1975, the BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE issued to Respondent JACK L. BARE, (hereinafter 23 referred to as "Respondent.") physicians and surgeons license No: 24 A-027773; that at all times mentioned herein, said license has been 25 in full force and effect. 26 27 3. Under Business and Professions Code Section 2220, the 28 BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE, Division of Licensing, may take disciplinary action against Respondent for unprofessional conduct 29 for which it may discipline Respondent under the provisions of Business and Professions Code Section 2227. 31 32 V//

20

22

24

26

27

80

32

Business and Professions Code Sections 2234(b)(c) and (d) respectively defines unprofessional conduct as constituting

- (b). Gross negligence
- (c). Repeated similar negligent acts
- (d). Incompetence
- That at all times mentioned herein, Respondent was a physician and surgeon employed by Folsum State Prison, State Department of Corrections. Respondent's duties and responsibilities included attending to and providing medical care to inmates at Folsum State Prison.
- 6. That on or about April 18, 1980, inmate M (#C-00106) was admitted to the Folsum Prison Medical Facility suffering from a stab wound. That Respondent in a grossly negligent and/or incompetent manner did treat, operate on, care for and prescribe for said patient B including, but not limited to the following:
- (a). Respondent failed to recognize the patient's 18 shock situation:
 - (b). Respondent failed to take appropriate action for the patient's condition including transferring the patient to an acute care medical center.
- 7. That between January 1976 and/or on or about October 23 1977, Respondent in a grossly negligent and/or incompetent manner did treat, operate on, care for, and prescribe for inmate R (#B-25246), who had a known history of heart disease, including but not limited to the following:
 - (a). Respondent ignored laboratory findings regarding the patient's heart problem and failed to order or follow-up on additional laboratory data regarding the patient's heart condition.
 - (b). Respondent failed to refer the patient for further cardiac evaluation.

27 ///

28 ///

///

29 ///

81 ///

- 8. That between March 1978 and on or about August 1978, Respondent, in a grossly negligent and/or incompetent manner did treat, operate on, care for, and prescribe for inmate Date (# B-79699) including but not limited to the followoing
- (a). Respondent failed to followup the care and treatment of patient J whom Respondent knew or should have known might be suffering from leukemia, which condition was subsequently diagnosed by others as chronic.
- 9. That between May 23, 1977, and on or about June 16, 1977, Respondent in a negligent and/or incompetent manner did treat, operate on, care for, and prescribe for inmate J B (#D-78426) including but not limited to the following:
- (a). Respondent failed to obtain an adequate history of said patient who had a history of heart disease and failed to adequately follow-up and prescribe for the patient's condition.
- 10. That between January 11, 1977 to on or about February 22, 1977, Respondent in a negligent manner did treat, operate on, care for, and prescribe for inmate F J (#B-61281) including but not limited to the following:
- (a). In spite of the patient's deteriorating condition showing confusion, disorientation, and abnormal behavior, Respondent failed to undertake appropriate laboratory testing and failed to transfer to and/or obtain for said patient, a neurological evaluation.

3

8

 11. That Respondent's conduct as alleged in paragraphs 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10, jointly and severally, constitute gross negligence and is grounds for disiplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 2234(b) (gross negligence) and 2220.

- 12. That Respondent's conduct as alleged in paragraphs 6,7,8, and 9, jointly and severally constitute incompetence and is grounds for disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 2234(d)(incompetence) and 2220.
- 13. That Respondent's conduct as alleged in paragraphs 6,7,8,9, and 10, jointly constitute repeated similar negligent acts and is grounds for disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 2234(c)(repeated similar negligent acts) and 2220.

WHEREFORE, the DIVISION of MEDICAL QUALITY OF The BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE requests that it may take whatever action it deems appropriate against Respondent's license No: A-02773.

DATED: November 6, 1981

ROBERT ROWLAND

Executive Secretary